Summary of Judgements Tables For Pharmacological Interventions

Clinical question: is treatment with bisphosphonates in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA					JU	DGEMENTS					IMPACT
Desirable Effects	Trivial Small			Moderate		Large		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Undesirable Effects	Large		Moderate		Small		Trivial		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values			Possibly impo uncertainty o variability			nt un nty or var		important certainty or iability			High/moderate/low
Balance of effects	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison		Probably favors the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Resources required	Large costs	Мо	oderate costs Negligik and sav		le costs	Moderate savings		Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	1	Low	•	Modera	te		h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Cost effectiveness	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does no either th interven the com	ie tion or	the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Equity	Reduced		bably uced	Probably impact	/ no	Probably increased		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Acceptability	No		Probably no	·	Probably	y yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably	y yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low

Clinical question: is treatment with methylprednisolone in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA					JU	DGEMENTS					IMPACT
Desirable Effects	Trivial Small			Moderate		Lar	ge	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Undesirable Effects	Large		Moderate		Small		Triv	vial	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	;h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values	Important		Possibly impo	ortant	Probably	/ no	No	important			High/moderate/low
	uncertainty or		uncertainty o	or	importa	nt	und	certainty or			
	variability		variability		uncertai	nty or	var	iability			
					variabili	ty					
Balance of effects	Favors the	Pro	bably favors	Does not	t favor	Probably favo	ors	Favors the	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
	comparison	the	comparison	either th	e	the intervent	ion	intervention			
				interven	intervention or						
				the comparison							
Resources required	Large costs	Мо	derate costs	Negligib	le costs	Moderate		Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
				and savi	savings saving		savings				
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te H		;h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
of required resources				_							
Cost effectiveness	Favors the	Pro	bably favors	Does not	t favor	Probably favo	ors	Favors the	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
	comparison	the	comparison	either th	e	the intervent	ion	intervention			
				interven	tion or						
				the com	parison						
Equity	Reduced	Pro	bably	Probably no		Probably		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
		red	uced	impact	r	increased					
Acceptability	No		Probably no		Probably	y yes	Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably	/ yes	Yes	;	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low

Clinical question: is treatment with PTH in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA					JU	DGEMENTS					IMPACT
Desirable Effects	Trivial	Trivial Small			Moderate		Large		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Undesirable Effects	Large Moderate			Small		Triv	vial	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	;h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values	ImportantPossibly importantuncertainty oruncertainty orvariabilityvariability				nt nty or	No important uncertainty or variability				High/moderate/low	
Balance of effects	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison		Probably favors the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Resources required	Large costs	Mo	derate costs	Negligibl and savi	e costs	Moderate savings		Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	1	Low	1	Modera	te		h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Cost effectiveness	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not either th interven the com	ie tion or	Probably favo the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Equity	Reduced		bably uced	Probably impact	/ no	Probably increased		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Acceptability	No	•	Probably no	•	Probabl	y yes	Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably		Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low

Clinical question: is treatment with Denosuamb in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA					JU	DGEMENTS					IMPACT
Desirable Effects	Trivial Small			Moderate		Lar	ge	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Undesirable Effects	Large		Moderate		Small		Triv	vial	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	;h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values	Important Possibly i uncertainty or uncertair		Possibly impo uncertainty o variability	, , , ,		nt unce nty or varia		important certainty or iability			High/moderate/low
Balance of effects	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison		Probably favors the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Resources required	Large costs	Мо	derate costs	Negligibl and savi		Moderate savings		Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	1	Low	1	Modera	te		h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Cost effectiveness	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not either th interven the com	ie tion or	Probably favor the interventic		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Equity	Reduced		bably uced	Probably impact	/ no	Probably increased		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Acceptability	No	•	Probably no	·	Probably	y yes	Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably		Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low

Clinical question: is treatment with Calcitonin in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA			IMPACT								
Desirable Effects	Trivial Small			Moderate		Lar	ge	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Undesirable Effects	Large		Moderate		Small		Triv	/ial	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values	Important Possibly im		Possibly impo uncertainty o variability			t unc nty or vari		important certainty or iability			High/moderate/low
Balance of effects	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison		Probably favors the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Resources required	Large costs	Мо	derate costs	Negligibl and savi		Moderate savings		Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low	1	Low	1	Modera	te		h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Cost effectiveness	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not either th interven the com	ie tion or	Probably favor the interventic		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Equity	Reduced		bably uced	Probably impact	/ no	Probably increased		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Acceptability	No	•	Probably no	·	Probably	y yes	Yes		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably		Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low

Clinical question: is treatment with Vitamin D and calcium supplementation in addition to offloading compared to offloading alone superior to achieve shorter time to remission and to prevent complications?

CRITERIA					JU	DGEMENTS					IMPACT
Desirable Effects	Trivial Small			Moderate		Large		Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low	
Undesirable Effects	Large Moderate		Moderate	Small			Triv	vial	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence	Very low		Low		Modera	te	Hig	ŗh	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Values	Important uncertainty or variability	r Possibly impo r uncertainty o variability				nt inty or	No important uncertainty or variability				High/moderate/low
Balance of effects	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison		Probably favors the intervention		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Resources required	Large costs	Mo	oderate costs Negligib		legligible costs Mo nd savings savi			Large savings	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Certainty of evidence of required resources	Very low		Low		Modera	ite		;h	No includ	ed studies	High/moderate/low
Cost effectiveness	Favors the comparison		bably favors comparison	Does not either th interven the com	ie tion or	the interventi		Favors the intervention	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Equity	Reduced		bably uced	Probably no impact		Probably increased		Increased	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Acceptability	No		Probably no	•	Probabl	y yes	Yes	;	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low
Feasibility	No		Probably no		Probably yes		Yes	5	Varies	Don't know	High/moderate/low